

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,301	DIESSEL ET AL.	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Leon Y. Lum. (3) _____.

(2) Kurt Briscoe. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2005 **Time:** ~10:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voicemail message indicating potential abandonment of the instant application due to a lack of reply to the Office Action mailed 15 December 2004 was left for Applicant's representative. However, no response to the Office Action or voicemail message has been received.